

Econometrics A: Problemset 1

LAURA MAYORAL

Institute for Economic Analysis and Barcelona GSE

January 2021

Deadline: January 28rd before 15:00 (Paris time). Please submit your answers electronically –scanned or typed, as you prefer–, to your TA, sanghyun.park@insead.edu.

Note: Problems 1 and 2 are designed for you to review basic concepts that we will use in the next classes (large sample theory, hypothesis testing, p-values, etc).

Exercises

1. Read carefully Handout 0 (Large sample theory) and answer the following questions.

The production process of a good is considered to work satisfactorily if less than 1% of the produced units are defective. To determine whether this is the case, a team has gathered data on the quality of the products. More specifically, 10,000 products were examined and it was found that 106 of them were defective. Define the random variables $X_i=1$ if product i is defective, and 0 otherwise, for product $i = 1 \dots N$, and assume that these variables are i.i.d.

- (1) Provide an estimator of the probability that one product is defective. (Hint: Notice X_i is a Bernoulli distribution and that in these distributions $E(X_i) = p(X_i = 1)$. Then, use the method of moments (i.e., replace population moments by sample moments to obtain an estimator of this quantity.)
- (2) Using the collected data provide an estimate of that probability.
- (3) Define an estimator of the variance of the random variable X_i . (Hint: remember that X_i is a Bernoulli random variable and therefore its variance is $p(1 - p)$ where $p = P(X = 1)$). Using your estimate of p , provide an estimate for the variance of X_i .
- (4) Use the Law of Large Numbers to describe the limit of the estimator provided in (1). Is this estimator consistent?
- (5) Use the Central Limit theorem to describe the asymptotic distribution of the estimator in (1)

2. Read carefully Handout 0 (hypothesis testing section). Using the data in the previous exercise construct an (asymptotic) test of hypotheses at the 5% level (i.e., $\alpha = .05$) to determine whether the production process works well or not (Remember that it works well if $p < 0.1$).

While doing this, answer the following questions:

- (1) Carefully define the meaning of α (type I error); also define the meaning of the type II error.
- (2) Describe the null and the alternative hypotheses

- (3) Describe the test statistic that you can use to test those hypotheses
- (4) describe the critical region (i.e., the values of the test-statistic for which you reject the null hypothesis)
- (5) compute the value of the test using the data the problem.
- (6) Can you reject H_0 ? Clearly justify your answer.
- (7) Define the concept of p-value.
- (8) Compute the p-value associated to the test-statistic you computed in c)

3. Let y and z be random scalars, and let \mathbf{x} be a $1 \times k$ random vector, such that $\mathbf{x}_1 = 1$. Consider the population model:

$$E(y|\mathbf{x}, z) = \mathbf{x}\gamma + \delta z$$

$$Var(y|\mathbf{x}, z) = \sigma^2$$

- i) Write a probabilistic model of y as a function of the conditional expectation specified above and a random disturbance u .
- ii) Under the assumptions above, compute the conditional mean and the conditional variance of u . Is u conditionally homoscedastic? (that is, is the (conditional) variance unrelated the values of \mathbf{x} or z). Justify your answer.
- iii) Compute the unconditional mean and the unconditional variance of u . (Hint: use the law of iterated expectations).
- iv) The main assumption that we need for identification of γ and δ is that $E((\mathbf{x} \ z)u) = 0$. Under the assumptions above, is this condition met? clearly justify your answer.
- v) Assume now that the variable z cannot be observed, and that you are considering this model instead.

$$y = \mathbf{x}\gamma + u^*$$

where now the error u^* contains the old error and the omitted variable, i.e.: $u^* = u + \delta z$. Assume further than \mathbf{x} and z are uncorrelated. Is it still possible to identify γ ? Clearly justify your answer.

- vi) Will your answer in v) change if \mathbf{x} and z were correlated? Justify your answer.

Computer Practise

4. Binscatter is a useful STATA command for data visualization, that provides a non-parametric estimation of the conditional expectation. Read [this](#) document to understand what it does.

- i) install the binscatter command in STATA.
- ii) Type “help binscatter” to know more about the options that this command provides.
- iii) Load the dataset “nlsw88.dta” in the stata memory (hint: type “help sysuse” to learn how you can do this.)
- iv) Plot a scatter plot relating wages and ttl_exp (total work experience). Add a line to the plot that describes the best linear fit between these variables. Describe the plot. (Hint: you can check this page for help <https://stats.idre.ucla.edu/stata/modules/graph8/intro/introduction-to-graphs-in-stata/>)
- v) Now plot a binscatter plot with the same variables. Compare the two graphs.
- v) Change the default number of bins in your binscatter plot to 40
- vi) Produce a binscatter that connects the different bins (hint: use the linetype option)
- vii) Compute two different binscatters (drawn in the same figure) relating the variables mentioned above, one for the people that are married and another for single people.